Criteria of Authenticity

• The Christian's chief criterion of authenticity

 "...Our full persuasion and assurance of the infallible truth and divine authority [of the Holy Scripture] is from the inward work of the Holy Spirit bearing witness by and with the Word in our hearts." (Westminster Confession of Faith 1.5)

• Critical scholars' criteria of authenticity

- *Embarrassment*: Material is likely to be authentic if it could be seen to undermine the author's credibility or case.
 - Examples:
 - Jesus came from Nazareth
 - Jesus was baptized by John
 - Jesus' own family doubted him
 - Jesus was accused of having a demon ("Beelzebul")
 - Jesus predicted the imminent coming of the kingdom
 - Jesus' own disciples often doubted and fumbled
 - Jesus was crucified
- Semiticisms: Material is likely to be authentic if it shows evidence of a Hebrew/Aramaic source rather than Greek, or if it shows knowledge of Palestinian geography and customs.
 - Examples:
 - Talitha koum meaning "Little girl, get up!" (Mark 5:41)
 - Ephphatha meaning "Be opened" (Mark 7:34)
 - Abba meaning "Father" (Mark 14:36)
 - Raca meaning "fool" (Matthew 5:22)
 - Eli Eli lema sabachthani meaning "My God, my God, why have you forsaken me?" (Matthew 27:46)
- Multiple Attestation: Material is likely to be authentic if it is found in several independent sources.
 - Examples:
 - "Is a lamp brought in to be put under a basket, or under a bed, and not on a stand?" (Matt. 5:15; Mark 4:21; Luke 11:33)
 - "Why does this generation seek a sign? Truly, I say to you, no sign will be given to this generation." (Matt. 12:39; Mark 8:12; Luke 11:29)
- Double Dissimilarity: Material is likely to be authentic if it is different from earlier Judaism or later Christianity.
 - Examples:
 - Jesus' table fellowship with sinners
 - Jesus' proclamation of the coming kingdom of God
 - Jesus' self-description as the Son of Man
 - Jesus' teaching through parables
 - "The criterion of dissimilarity posits a huge rupture between a movement founder and his or her subsequent movement that is simply absurd. You

end up with a Jesus who said, thought, and did nothing that his earliest followers believed he said, thought, and did. Jesus becomes a free-floating iconoclast artificially insulated from the movement that took its name from him, claimed to follow his teachings, and memorialized his deeds and actions." (Michael Bird, How God Became Jesus)

 Double Similarity and Double Dissimilarity: "When something can be seen to be credible (though perhaps deeply subversive) within first-century Judaism, and credible as the implied starting point (though not the exact replica) of something in later Christianity, there is a strong possibility of our being in touch with the genuine history of Jesus." (N.T. Wright, Jesus and the Victory of God)

• The problem with the criteria

- What about material that doesn't meet these criteria?
 - No good criteria to assess their authenticity.
 - If inauthentic, then there's no plausible explanation for their origin.
 - If inauthentic, then why were they included in the gospels?
 - Apply the "trilemma" to the disciples

• From the trees to the forest: Criteria of a plausible portrait of Jesus (3 C's)

- Comprehensible: Jesus' message and ministry must have made sense for one coming from, and speaking to, a first-century Palestinian Jewish context.
- Crucifiable: Jesus' message and ministry must have been offensive enough for the Jewish leaders to want to kill him.
- Consequential: Jesus' message and ministry must have accounted for the rise of the early church, along with its confession of him as the risen Son of God.